

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/658,622 09/08/2000 James G. Gatto 08271.000009 3360 29315 05/20/2005 **EXAMINER** 7590 MINTZ LEVIN COHN FERRIS GLOVSKY AND POPEO PC FELTEN, DANIEL S 12010 SUNSET HILLS ROAD PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT **SUITE 900** RESTON, VA 20190 3624

DATE MAILED: 05/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· i		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/658,622	GATTO, JAMES G.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Daniel S Felten	3624	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Renly	pears on the cover s	neet with the correspondence addres	s
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however y within the statutory minimu will apply and will expire SIX e, cause the application to be	may a reply be timely filed  im of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.
Status				
<i>,</i> —	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 December 2004</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 19-88 and 90-121 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 19-88 & 90-121 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or or claim(s) are subject to restriction.	wn from considerati		
Applicat	ion Papers			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) object drawing(s) be held in tion is required if the c	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). rawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been receive s have been receive rity documents have u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	ed. ed in Application No e been received in this National Stag )).	je
Attachmen	t(s)			
2) Notice 3) Information Paper	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) tr No(s)/Mail Date	5) No	erview Summary (PTO-413) per No(s)/Mail Date tice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152 ner:	)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Off PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Application/Control Number: 09/658,622

Art Unit: 3624

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Receipt of the amendment filed December 30, 2004 canceling claim 89 and amending claims 90-121 to depend from claim 89 is acknowledged. Claims 19-88 and 90-121 are pending in the application and are presented to be examined upon their merits.

## Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed December 30, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's arguments, the recitation that the prior art of record fails to disclose a "card-less transaction system where user selected parameters are pre-stored based on user selections and then re-used in subsequent transactions, where the transactions are stored in memory of the system" has not been given patentable weight because the recitation occurs in the preamble. A preamble is generally not accorded any patentable weight where it merely recites the purpose of a process or the intended use of a structure, and where the body of the claim does not depend on the preamble for completeness but, instead, the process steps or structural limitations are able to stand alone. See *In re Hirao*, 535 F.2d 67, 190 USPQ 15 (CCPA 1976) and *Kropa v. Robie*, 187 F.2d 150, 152, 88 USPQ 478, 481 (CCPA 1951).

It appears that the applicant has applied a more stringent standard to the reference than to the limitations of the claims. This is a reversal of their appropriate roles, as the references is used as a whole as a teaching in light of the level of skill in the art. In particular it is respectfully asked that the applicant read the Office Action again in light of the following:

Application/Control Number: 09/658,622

Art Unit: 3624

Regarding the use of customized display, this feature was addressed in Morika (see column 5, lines 15+; and col. 2, lines 60+) and in the Office Action on page 5 under claims 29-31. The use of a single screen to enable a user to execute a transaction with a single selection from the single screen feature was addressed again in Morika (col. 2, lines 60+). It is also submitted that a prima facie case of obviousness does not require that the prior art references necessarily recognize or even suggest the problem the applicant attempted to solve [In re Dillion, 919 F.2d 688, 16 USPQ2d 1897 (Fed. Cir 1990)]. Thus the rejections submitted in the previous Office Action are maintained.

## Conclusion

3. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/658,622

Art Unit: 3624

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel S Felten whose telephone number is (703) 305-0724. The examiner can normally be reached on Flex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on (703) 308-1065. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Daniel S Felten
Examiner
Art Unit 3624

DSF May 12, 2005 VINCENT MILLIN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Unes sulli